

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/517,615 20277	03/03/2000 7590 10/21/2002	Shrikar Bhagath	52352-595	5,162	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER		
	FREET, N.W. FON, DC 20005-3096		PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					Mc			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/517,615		BHAGATH ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ishwar (I. B.) Pat	el	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S a, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	will be considered timel he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are withdraw	n from considerati	on.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· —	-	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/517,615 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6, drawn to an integrated circuit package board, classified in class 174, subclass 250.
 - II. Claims 7-14, drawn to a method for positioning electronic components on an integrated circuit package, classified in class 438, subclass 107.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions group II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as by manually positioning the electronic components on the integrated circuit package board instead of using a programmed machine vision element.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/517,615 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

4. During a telephone conversation between Stephen W. Smoot (previous examiner) and Daniel S Trainor (attorney for applicant) on May 21, 2002, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7-14, withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubin, US Patent No. 6,044,549, in view of Hidetaka, Japanese Patent JP403162000A.

Art Unit: 2827

Regarding claim 1, Kubin discloses Integrated circuit package board comprising: a package board surface (surface of printed circuit board 32, see figure 4, column 4, line 42-45); and

a plurality of fiducial distributed on the package board surface (fiducial elements 36, see figure 4, line 42-65), but fail to explicitly disclose the fiducial are positioned asymmetrically from a center point of the package board surface and two of the fiducial having different sizes from one another. However, the locations and number of the fiducial will be decided upon the type of alignment required, such as, only two fiducials/guiding holes for aligning a panel board on a drill machine will be enough with respective pin on the drill bed, or two fiducials on the negative of the photos for printing the circuit traces on the board, and it is inherent to provide two or more fiducial asymmetric to the center to stop the panel/board installation in reverse side.

Regarding the size of the fiducial, the applicant is not disclosing any specific advantage with different size fiducial against the same size of fiducials. The fiducials may be same size or different as described on page 4, lines 1-4 of the specification.

Further, Hidetaka disclose fiducials asymmetrical to center of the board.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the circuit board of Kubin with fiducials asymmetrical from a center point of the package board surface in order to have the accurate alignment of the component/board without an error and resultant less failure.

Application/Control Number: 09/517,615

Art Unit: 2827

Regarding claim 2, though Kubin does not disclose about the size of the fiducial, it will be decided upon the method of the alignment. If the alignment is with naked eyes, a larger fiducial will be useful in aligning, if it is machine alignment, it will be designed to suit the requirement of the machine. The crux of prividing fiducial is to reduce the misalignment or to stop wrong installation of the part. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified circuit board of Kubin with sizes as claimed to facilitate better alignment to reduce waste.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Kubin further discloses fiducial made with high intensity of light reflection or gold plated, see column 3, line 50-60.

Regarding claim 5, Hidetaka discloses a reference fiducial at an intersection of an x-axis and a y-axis, the intersection not being at the center of the package board surface, see Hidetaka figure 2.

Regarding claim 6, though Hidetaka discloses the first fiducial further from the x-axis than the second fiducial from the y-axis, Hidetaka figure 2, it can be provided in the reverse, with first fiducial further from the y-axis than the second fiducial from the x-axis to suit the specific manufacturing situation. One way or the other is not giving any specific advantage or disadvantage over the other. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 09/517,615

Art Unit: 2827

provide the modified circuit board of Kubin with the first fiducial further from the y-axis

than the second fiducial from the x-axis in order to suit the specific manufacturing

situations for getting better alignment.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Winer et al., Kuribayashi et al., Forehand et al., and Kawato et

al., disclose circuit assembly similar to applicant's claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ishwar (I. B.) Patel whose telephone number is (703)

305 2617. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 4) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David L Talbott can be reached on (703) 305 9883. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305 3431

for regular communications and (703) 305 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308

0956.

ibp

October 16, 2002

MJ W Palani 10-17-02

Page 6

ALBERT W. PALADINI PRIMARY EXAMINER